	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/796,398	MCKINZIE, WILLIAM E.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Seungsook Ham	2817 .	•		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Steven Moore (Reg. #55,462)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 31 May 2006.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		·		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 (Proposed Draft Amendment).					
Identification of prior art discussed: Yamamoto et al. and Devoe et al.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out that Devoe et al. does not show "a preselected reactanceover a desired frequency band". Moreover, Applicant pointed out that the lead line 1 of Yamamoto et al. (fig. 6) is not the same as a conducting pad as applicant recited in claim 1. Applicant further pointed out that the resonant via is only connected to the first and second conductucting pads. Examiner will consider such remarks/amendment when it is officially submitted. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
. •					
•		,			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/796,398	MCKINZIE, WILLIAM E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Steven Moore</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>7 August 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 10, 13, 22, 23, 35, 39-49, 52, 59 and 60			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Examiner's Amendment.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of th interview, since to	e substance
			-
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appro	priate)